

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/682,231	ROSENHOLTZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tran A. Quoc	2176	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Tran A. Quoc (USPTO).

(3) James Oliff (Attorney).

(2) Kentaro Highuchi (Attorney).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 October 2007

Time: 2PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Yes

Claims discussed:

1-8

Prior art documents discussed:

Rohrabough & Harry


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this case. Applicant's Attorney agrees to the following amended of claims 1 and 6 and filling Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the double patent pesented in the Final Office Action dated 05/02/2007. The claims have been amended in accordance with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideration of the pending claims and passing them allowance is agreed upon.